BACKWARD FILTERING FORWARD GUIDING FOR MARKOV PROCESSES

Frank van der Meulen – joint work with Moritz Schauer VU GENERAL MATH COLLOQUIUM

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg

Warming up

General problem setting

Conditioning, Doob's h-transform and the Backward Information Filter

Guided process

Discrete case

Numerical illustration

Continuous time transitions

Numerical illustration

Wrap-up / conclusions

Warming up

A finite state Markov chain

Warming up

- Consider process that starts at time 0 and evolves over times $1,2,\ldots$
- At each time, the process takes values in $E := \{(1, 2), (3)\}$.
- Draw initial state $x_0 \in E$ from probability vector π_0 (row vector):

x
 1
 2
 3

$$\mathbb{P}(X=x)$$
 $\pi_0(1)$
 $\pi_0(2)$
 $\pi_0(3)$

- Once x_0 is drawn, proceed iteratively: sample $X_i | X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}$.
- Summarise transition probabilities by matrix

_

$$\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \to 1 & 1 \to 2 & 1 \to 3 \\ 2 \to 1 & 2 \to 2 & 2 \to 3 \\ 3 \to 1 & 3 \to 2 & 3 \to 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Distribution of the chain

• Distribution at time 1 is given by

 $\pi_1 = \pi_0 \kappa.$

• Likewise

$$\pi_i = \pi_{i-1}\kappa.$$

• κ may depend on unknown parameters. Example

$$\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta & \theta & 0\\ 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25\\ 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Observe sequence (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) , estimate θ .
- Markov property

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$$

= $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i = x_i \mid X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}).$

Warming up

Warming up

Likelihood based inference

Define the likelihood function by

$$\theta \mapsto L(\theta; x) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n).$$

Maximum likelihood estimator: find θ that maximises $L(\theta; x)$.

Bayesian approach: cast problem in hierarchical way. Assume the data are generated as follows

- 1. First sample a realisation θ from the random variable Θ taking values in [0, 1];
- 2. conditional on θ , generate x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n as before.
- 3. Bayesian approach: all inference is based on the posterior distribution

$$f_{\Theta|X}(\theta \mid x) = \frac{L(\theta; x) f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{\int L(\theta; x) f_{\Theta}(\theta) \,\mathrm{d}\theta} \propto L(\theta; x) f_{\Theta}(\theta).$$

Example (1/2)

Observe

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_4, x_4, x_5) = (1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 2).$$

Assume $\pi_0 = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$ and recall

$$\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta & \theta & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

 \triangle Estimate for θ ?

$$L(\theta; x) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \theta \cdot 0.5 \cdot 0.25 \cdot 0.4 \cdot \theta \propto \theta^{2}.$$

Example (2/2)

Warming up

- MLE $\hat{\theta} = 1$.
- Bayes: assume $\Theta \sim Unif(0,1),$ then

$$f_{\Theta|X}(\theta \mid x) = 3\theta^2 \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\theta).$$



Posterior mean:

Warming up

$$\mathbb{E}[\Theta \mid X = x] = \int \theta f_{\Theta \mid X}(\theta \mid x) \, \mathrm{d}\theta = 3/4.$$

7

Other observation schemes



General problem setting

Problem setting

Consider a directed *Markovian* tree:



• denotes latent vertices, \circ leaf/observation-vertices.

Along each edge the process evolves according to either one step of a discrete-time Markov chain or a time-span of a continuous-time Markov process.

General problem setting

Problem setting

To each edge corresponds a Markov kernel:

$$\kappa_{i}(x_{\mathrm{pa}(t)}, \mathrm{d}x_t)$$

(pointing towards vertex t).

We aim for

- 1. sampling values at \bullet , conditional on values at \circ ;
- 2. estimating parameters in kernels;
- 3. not just on a tree, but on a general Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG).

Setup:

- population of n individuals;
- each individual is either Susceptible, Infected or Recovered;
- each individual has a known (possibly time varying) set of neighbours.

Dynamics:

- If $x_i = \mathbf{S}$, then it transitions to \mathbf{I} with intensity $\lambda N_i(t, x)$, with $N_i(t, x)$ number of infected neighbours of individual i at time t.
- If $x_i = \mathbf{I}$, then it transitions to \mathbf{R} with intensity μ .
- If $x_i = \mathbf{R}$, it transitions to \mathbf{S} with intensity ν .

General problem setting

Example 1: Dynamics of each particle

- Time-discretised version of the problem, where time steps are multiples of \(\tau > 0\).
- In each time interval of length τ, conditional on the the present state of all individuals, each individual independently remains in its present state or transitions.

The transition matrix for individual i at time t, given "full state" x:

$$\kappa_i(t,x) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi \left(\lambda N_i(t,x)\right) & 1 - \psi \left(\lambda N_i(t,x)\right) & 0\\ 0 & \psi(\mu) & 1 - \psi(\mu)\\ 1 - \psi(\nu) & 0 & \psi(\nu) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\psi(u) = \exp(-\tau u)$

Example 1: challenges



Goals:

- identify most probable latent states (partial observations...);
- estimate rate parameters λ , μ and ν .

 \land Dimension of state-space is 3^n .

General problem setting

Example 2: stochastic differential equations

• Consider the SDE

$$dX_s = b_\theta(s, X_s) \, ds + \sigma_\theta(s, X_s) \, dW_s.$$

• Graphical model



where

$$V_{t+\Delta} \mid X_{t+\Delta} \sim N(X_{t+\Delta}, \Sigma).$$

SDE on a tree where on each branch





Example 3: phylogenetics

General problem setting



Syst. Biol. 52(2):131-158, 2003 DOI: 10.1080/10635150390192780

Stochastic Mapping of Morphological Characters

JOHN P. HUELSENBECK,¹ RASMUS NIELSEN,² AND JONATHAN P. BOLLBACK¹

¹Section of Ecology, Behavior and Evolution, Division of Biology, University of California–San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0116, USA ²Department of Biometrics, Cornell University, 439 Warren Hall, Ithaca, New York 14853-7801, USA

Abstract.— Many questions in evolutionary biology are best addressed by comparing traits in different species. Often such studies involve mapping characters on phylogenetic trees. Mapping characters on trees allows the nature, number, and timing of the transformations to be identified. The parsimony method is the only method available for mapping morphological characters on phylogenies. Although the parsimony method often makes reasonable reconstructions of the history of a character, it has a number of limitations. These limitations include the inability to consider more than a single change along a branch on a tree and the uncoupling of evolutionary time from amount of character change. We extended a method described by Nielsen (2002, Syst. Biol. 51:729–739) to the mapping of morphological characters under continuous-time Markov models and demonstrate here the utility of the method for mapping character on trees and for identifying character correlation. [Bayesian estimation; character correlation; character mapping; Markov chain Monte Carlo.]

Along each edge, a finite state continuous time Markov process evolves.

Ideally, one would like to randomly sample character histories that consistent with the observations at the tips of a phylogenetic tree.

General problem setting

Related literature

State-space models / hidden Markov models



Well-known filtering, smoothing algorithms dating back to 1960-1970.

- finite state space: Baum-Welch, Viterbi, forward-backward algorithm.
- linear Gaussian models: Kalman filter, Rauch-Tung-Striebel smoother.
- linear stochastic differential equations: Kalman-Bucy filter & smoother.

Conditioning, Doob's *h*-**transform and the Backward Information Filter**

Conditioning on a tree

Define

- \mathcal{V}_t : all leaf descendants of vertex t.
- $\mathcal{V}_t = \{v_1, v_2\}.$

t

Key identity (Bayesian notation):

$$p(x_t \mid x_{pa(t)}, x_{\mathcal{V}_t}) \propto p(x_t, x_{\mathcal{V}_t} \mid x_{pa(t)})$$

$$= p(x_t \mid x_{pa(t)}) \underbrace{p(x_{\mathcal{V}_t} \mid x_t, x_{pa(t)})}_{h_t(x_t)}$$

Rewrite to

 $\boxed{\kappa_{\neq t}^{\star}(x; \, \mathrm{d}y) \propto \kappa_{\neq t}(x; \, \mathrm{d}y) h_t(y)}.$

 \bigwedge If x_t is observed, then $h_t(x_t)$ is the likelihood in the subtree from node t.

Doob's *h*-transform

• Doob's h-transform: Transformation of each κ_s with h_s to κ_s^* :

$$\kappa_{\Rightarrow s}^{\star}(x, \, \mathrm{d}y) = \frac{\kappa_{\Rightarrow s}(x, \, \mathrm{d}y)h_s(y)}{\int \kappa_{\Rightarrow s}(x, \, \mathrm{d}y)h_s(y)}, \quad s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

A forward pass: Needs κ_{i} and h_s .

- Recursive computation of h_s in a backward pass: (Backward Information Filter):
 - Compute h_s from the leaves back to the roots.
 - Acyclic belief propagation, sum-product algorithm, Felsenstein algorithm...
 - \Lambda Only in very specific models tractable.
- A On a DAG conditioning changes the dependency structure. There are no conditional kernels κ^{*}_{→s} from pa(s) to s.

Doob's h-transform

Backward Information Filter

Information Filter





Doob's *h*-transform

Example: finite state space

Information Filte

- Suppose $x_t \in \{(1, 2, 3)\}$ and $v_t \in \{(1, 2, 3)\}$. Idea: in observations we cannot distinguish (1) and (2)
- Finite state space \implies Markov kernels can be identified with matrices

$$\lambda_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \kappa_{s,t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta & \theta & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix},$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $s \in \{0, 1, 3\}$ and $t \in ch(s)$.

• Prior on initial state: set $x_{-1} = (0)$ and

$$\kappa_{-1,0} = [\pi_1, \ \pi_2, \ \pi_3] =: \pi.$$

Backward Information Filter (BIF)

- **BIF**: efficient way to compute $x \mapsto h_t(x)$.
- Λ For finite state space this map can be identified with a vector h_t .
- Initialise from observations: for $t = 0, \ldots, n$

$$h_t^{\text{obs}} := \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \{ v_t = (1,2) \} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \{ v_t = (3) \}.$$

Pullback along edges:



ation Filter	Information
ation Filter	Information

Doob's h-transform

24

Pullback along edges



 $h_2 = \lambda_3 h_3^{\text{obs}} \qquad h_1 = \kappa_{1,2} h_2.$

Why $h_1 = \kappa_{1,2}h_2$?

Information Filter

$$h_1(x_1) = p(v_3 \mid x_1) = \int p(v_3, x_2 \mid x_1) \, dx_2$$

= $\int \underbrace{p(v_3 \mid x_1, x_2)}_{h_2(x_2)} p(x_2 \mid x_1) \, dx_2.$



Get

$$h_{0
ightarrow 3} = \kappa_{0,3} h_3$$
 and $h_{0
ightarrow 1} = \kappa_{0,1} h_1$

Fusion: by conditional independence of children we have

$$h_0(x) = h_{0 \to 1}(x) h_{0 \to 3}(x).$$

By identifying with vectors

Information Filter

$$h_0 = h_{0 \to 1} \odot h_{0 \to 3}.$$

Doob's h-transform

Backward Information Filter (BIF)

- Likelihood: $L(\theta) := h_{-1} = \kappa_{-1,0} h_0$.
- Forward simulate:

$$x_t^\star \mid x_s^\star = i \sim \mathsf{Cat}(\kappa_{s,t}[i,] \odot h_t), \qquad t \in \mathrm{ch}(s).$$

This is all tractable because

- 1. the DAG is a directed tree;
- 2. the state space is finite.

Guided process

Backward Information Filter (BIF)

Key idea: replace $h_{s \stackrel{\rightarrow}{t}}$ by $g_{s \stackrel{\rightarrow}{t}}$ that makes BIF tractable.



Get

$$h_{0
ightarrow 3} = \kappa_{0,3} h_3$$
 and $h_{0
ightarrow 1} = \kappa_{0,1} h_1$

Get

Guided process

 $h_{0 \not \rightarrow 3} = \widetilde{\kappa}_{0,3} h_3 \quad \text{and} \quad h_{0 \not \rightarrow 1} = \widetilde{\kappa}_{0,1} h_1$

Discrete case

Let the maps $x \mapsto g_{s \to t}(x)$ be specified for each edge (s, t) and define

$$g_s(x) = \prod_{t \in ch(s)} g_{s \to t}(x), \qquad s \in \mathcal{S}_0.$$
(1)

Practical way to choose $g_{s \to t}$: replace kernel $\kappa_{s \to t}$ by approximation $\tilde{\kappa}_{s \to t}$.

Definition

Define the guided process X° as the process starting in $X_0^{\circ} = x_0$ and from the roots onwards evolving *on* the DAG \mathcal{G} according to transition kernel

$$\kappa_{\mathrm{pa}(s) \stackrel{\diamond}{\rightarrow} s}^{\circ}(x_{\mathrm{pa}(s)}; \mathrm{d}y) = \frac{g_s(y)\kappa_{\mathrm{pa}(s) \stackrel{\diamond}{\rightarrow} s}(x_{\mathrm{pa}(s)}; \mathrm{d}y)}{\int g_s(y)\kappa_{\mathrm{pa}(s) \stackrel{\diamond}{\rightarrow} s}(x_{\mathrm{pa}(s)}; \mathrm{d}y)}, \qquad s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Discrete case

Use of guided process

Guided process

Let $\mathcal S$ denote the set of non-leaf vertices.

Theorem

Assume kernels towards leaf-nodes admit densities $p_{pa(v) \rightarrow v}$. Then

$$h_0(x_0) = g_0(x_0) \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}} w_{\mathrm{pa}(s) \neq s}(X_{\mathrm{pa}(s)}^\circ) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{p_{\mathrm{pa}(v) \neq v}(X_{\mathrm{pa}(v)}^\circ; x_v)}{g_{\mathrm{pa}(v) \neq v}(X_{\mathrm{pa}(v)}^\circ)}\right]$$

with weights defined by

$$w_{\mathrm{pa}(s) \to s}(x_{\mathrm{pa}(s)}) = \frac{\int g_s(y) \kappa_{\mathrm{pa}(s) \to s}(x_{\mathrm{pa}(s)}; \mathrm{d}y)}{\prod_{u \in \mathrm{pa}(s)} g_{u \to s}(x_u)} \qquad s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Computationally, this implies a bidirectional scheme:

- 1. Backward pass for Filtering;
- 2. Forward pass for Guiding.

Guided process

- If the state space is finite, BIF provides the likelihood.
- Key to tractability is that h can always be represented as a vector.
- 🚹 In general BIF is intractable.
- Resolve by backward filtering with simpler kernels and forward simulating the corresponding guided process.
- This results in weighted samples from the conditioned process.

Application: interacting particle process

Forward transitions:

Guided process

$$\kappa_i(t,x) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi \left(\lambda N_i(t,x)\right) & 1 - \psi \left(\lambda N_i(t,x)\right) & 0\\ 0 & \psi(\mu) & 1 - \psi(\mu)\\ 1 - \psi(\nu) & 0 & \psi(\nu) \end{bmatrix},$$

Discrete case

where

 $N_i(x) = \{$ number of infected neighbours of individual i in state $x\}$

and $\psi(u) = \exp(-\tau u)$.

Auxiliary kernel for backward filtering:

$$\widetilde{\kappa}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \psi(\widetilde{\lambda}_i(t)) & 1 - \psi(\widetilde{\lambda}_i(t)) & 0\\ 0 & \psi(\mu) & 1 - \psi(\mu)\\ 1 - \psi(\nu) & 0 & \psi(\nu) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Application: interacting particle process



10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

Guided process

Numerical illustration

33

Application: interacting particle process



Rethinking the discrete-time case:

• Edge



Suppose $x \mapsto h(T, x)$ is given; wish to find $x \mapsto h(S, x)$.

• "Discrete-time" generator

$$(\mathcal{A}h)(S,x) := \mathbb{E}[h(T,X_T) - h(S,X_S) \mid X_S = x]$$
$$= \int h(T,y)\kappa_{S \to T}(x, \,\mathrm{d}y) - h(S,x).$$

• \triangle Obtain $x \mapsto h(S, x)$ by solving $(\mathcal{A}h)(S, x) = 0$.

Continuous time transitions

Guided process

Define the infinitesimal generator of the space-time process (t,X_t) : for $S \leq s < s+h \leq T$

Continuous time transitions

$$(\mathcal{A}h)(s,x) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} \mathbb{E}[h(s+h, X_{s+h}) - h(s, X_s) \mid X_s = x]$$
$$= (\mathcal{L}h)(s,x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}h(s,x).$$

• Obtain $x \mapsto h(S, x)$ from solving

 $(\mathcal{A}h)(s,x) = 0$ subject to $h(T,\cdot)$.

• *h* induces a change of measure from *X* to the process *X*^{*} with inf. generator

$$h\mathcal{L}^{\star}f = \mathcal{L}(fh) - f\mathcal{L}h.$$

A Solving Kolmogorov backward equation is usually intractable.

- Backward filter with $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ instead of \mathcal{L} , such that solving $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}g)(s,x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}g(s,x) = 0$ becomes tractable.
- g induces a change of measure from X to X° with inf. generator

$$g\mathcal{L}^{\circ}f = \mathcal{L}(fg) - f\mathcal{L}g$$

Identify guided process from \mathcal{L}° .

• Correct for "wrong" h by weight

$$\exp\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \frac{(\mathcal{L} - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})g}{g}(u, X_u^\circ) \,\mathrm{d}u\right).$$

Continuous time transitions

Example 2: branching diffusion

Guided proce



SDE on a tree where on each branch

Guided proces

$$dX_t = \tanh \left(\begin{bmatrix} -\theta_1 & \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 & -\theta_2 \end{bmatrix} X_t \right) dt + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} dW_t.$$

Numerical illustration: SDE on a tree



Xı



On each branch

$$dX_t = \tanh \left(\begin{bmatrix} -\theta_1 & \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 & -\theta_2 \end{bmatrix} X_t \right) dt + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} dW_t.$$

- Backward filter a linear process (essentially $\tilde{\kappa}$)
- Write X° as pushforward of (x_0, ξ, Z) , with $\xi = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$
- MCMC on (ξ, Z)

Implementation in MitosisStochasticDiffEq.jl by Frank Schäfer (MIT).

Numerical illustration: SDE on a tree



Numerical illustration: SDE on a tree



Guided process

Wrap-up / conclusions

Wrap-up

Backward Filtering Forward Guiding: framework for doing likelihood based inference in directed acyclic graphs, where transitions over edges may correspond to the evolution of a stochastic process for a certain time span.

- Defining guided processes on graphical models (for "non-tree"-case: see preprint).
- Both discrete-time and continuous-time transitions incorporated.
- Illustrations for interacting particle process and branching diffusion.
- Not covered: compositionality results (some category theory, see preprint).

Ongoing: SPDEs, SDEs on manifolds, chemical reaction networks.

• Continuous-discrete smoothing of diffusions MIDER, SCHAUER, VDM, Electronic Journal of Statistics

Bayesian inference for partially observed diffusions.

• Automatic Backward Filtering Forward Guiding for Markov processes and graphical models, VDM AND SCHAUER, preprint on arXiv.

A generalisation to Markov processes on graphical models including ideas on compositionality from category theory.

• Introduction to Automatic Backward Filtering Forward Guiding, VDM, preprint on arXiv.

Gentle introduction to the more advanced paper.

• Inference in Hidden Markov Models, CAPPÉ, MOULINES AND RYDÉN

Good source on filtering, smoothing, parameter estimation in HMM.

Wrap-up / conclusions