Onset of Preclinical Alzheimer Disease in Monozygotic Twins
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Objective: The present work was undertaken to study the genetic contribution to the start of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) with amyloid and tau biomarkers in cognitively intact older identical twins.

Methods: We studied in 96 monozygotic twin-pairs relationships between amyloid-beta (Aβ) aggregation as measured by the Aβ1–42/Aβ1–40 ratio in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF; n = 126) and positron emission tomography (PET, n = 194), and CSF markers for Aβ production (beta-secretase 1, Aβ1–40, and Aβ1–38) and CSF tau. Associations among markers were tested with generalized estimating equations including a random effect for twin status, adjusted for age, gender, and apolipoprotein E ε4 genotype. We used twin analyses to determine relative contributions of genetic and/or environmental factors to AD pathophysiological processes.

Results: Twenty-seven individuals (14%) had an abnormal amyloid PET, and 14 twin-pairs (15%) showed discordant amyloid PET scans. Within twin-pairs, Aβ production markers and total-tau (t-tau) levels strongly correlated (r range = 0.73–0.86, all p < 0.0001), and Aβ aggregation markers and 181-phosphorylated-tau (p-tau) levels correlated moderately strongly (r range = 0.50–0.64, all p < 0.0001). Cross-twin cross-trait analysis showed that Aβ1–38 in one twin correlated with Aβ1–42/Aβ1–40 ratios, and t-tau and p-tau levels in their cotwins (r range = −0.28 to 0.58, all p < .007). Twin discordance analyses suggest that Aβ production and tau levels show coordinated increases in very early AD.

Interpretation: Our results suggest a substantial genetic/shared environmental background contributes to both Aβ and tau increases, suggesting that modulation of environmental risk factors may aid in delaying the onset of AD pathophysiological processes.
factors in the early development of the disease, when biomarkers for Aβ and tau are changing and cognition is still intact. Twin studies suggested that genetic factors may explain 58 to 79% of the variance in Alzheimer’s disease (AD)-type dementia, but it remains largely unknown whether this is also the case for AD biomarkers in elderly cognitively normal individuals.

In autosomal dominant variants of AD (ADAD), Aβ aggregation is associated with increased Aβ production. In sporadic AD, however, impaired clearance is suggested to drive the disease. Nevertheless, induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) models in sporadic AD suggest that increased Aβ production and tau secretion are also involved. In people, higher cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of beta-secretase 1 (BACE1), the rate-limiting enzyme in Aβ production, have been associated with higher CSF concentrations of Aβ peptides, and subsequent aggregation of Aβ1–42 in individuals with initially normal Aβ CSF levels.

It is generally assumed that tau follows Aβ pathology, and CSF levels of total-tau (t-tau) and 181-phosphorylated-tau (p-tau) are also increased early in the disease. Possibly, such early increases in CSF tau and Aβ levels are driven by common upstream pathophysiological processes, and to identify such upstream processes it is important to determine the relative contributions of genetic and environmental factors to early changes in these AD pathophysiological processes. A monozygotic twin design provides a unique approach to study the role of genetic and environmental factors in disease development. Because monozygotic twins are genetically identical, a low twin-pair correlation suggests that the trait is dependent on environmental factors that are unique to each of the twins. Within–twin-pair difference analysis shows to what extend the correlation between two traits is driven by environmental factors unique to one of the twins. Furthermore, cross-twin cross-trait (CTCT) analyses provide the opportunity to investigate whether a relation between traits is driven by shared genetic and environmental influences.

Our objective was to investigate associations between Aβ production, Aβ aggregation, and CSF tau pathology in cognitively intact older monozygotic twins, to determine the role of genetic and environmental factors on these associations. We used twin discordance models to test the dynamic relationship between Aβ production and Aβ aggregation markers. We hypothesized that if higher Aβ production and increased tau levels precede Aβ aggregation, then in twin-pairs discordant for Aβ aggregation, the discordant twin with normal Aβ levels would already show signs of increased Aβ production and tau markers compared to twin-pairs in which both twins have normal Aβ aggregation markers. Furthermore, if the increase in Aβ production markers and CSF tau levels before plaque formation are driven by common upstream genetic processes, these markers should correlate with each other across the whole group and higher levels of Aβ markers in one twin should be related to higher levels of tau in the cotwin in CTCT analysis. Furthermore, if the associations between tau and Aβ production markers are driven by environmental factors that are unique for one of the twins, then twin differences in Aβ production and tau markers should be correlated. Finally, we investigated whether the associations between Aβ markers and tau were dependent on the modality used to determine Aβ abnormality (ie, positron emission tomography [PET] or CSF).

### Subjects and Methods

#### Participants

Monozygotic twins were invited from the Netherlands Twin Register to participate in the PreclinAD study as part of the Innovative Medicine Initiative European Information Framework for AD (EMIF-AD) project (http://www.emif.eu/). Inclusion criteria were age 60 years and older, a delayed recall score of >1.5 standard deviations relative to demographically adjusted normative data from the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease 10-word list, a Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status–modified score of 23 or higher, a 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale score of <11, and a Clinical Dementia Rating Scale of 0 with a score on the memory sub domain of 0. The exclusion criterion was any physical, neurological, or psychiatric condition that could lead to interference with normal cognition in aging. Monozygotic twins were asked to collect buccal cell samples for DNA extraction to confirm zygosity. For the present study, we included all individuals who had an amyloid measurement available (n = 197). A total of 194 subjects (94 complete twin-pairs) had [18F]flutemetamol PET available, and from 126 subjects (54 complete twin-pairs) CSF samples were collected.

#### Ethical Considerations

Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was approved by the Central Ethics Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects of the VU University Medical Center Amsterdam, an institutional review board (IRB) certified by the US Office of Human Research Protections (IRB number IRB00002991 under Federalwide Assurance FWA00017598). The research was performed according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with the Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act and codes on "good use" of clinical data and biological samples as developed by the Dutch Federation of Medical Scientific Societies. The study was registered in the EU Clinical Trials Register (EudraCT) with number 2014-000219-15.
To determine Aβ aggregation in CSF, we used the Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio with lower values indicating abnormality. As markers for Aβ production, we used BACE1 concentrations in CSF, as well as concentrations of Aβ1–40 (Aβ40) and Aβ1–38 (Aβ38). For tau pathology we used CSF t-tau and p-tau, which reflect different aspects of tau pathology, with especially higher values for p-tau being indicative for tau aggregation.

CSF samples were collected in 126 (62%) participants through a lumbar puncture, performed between 10 AM and 2 PM, after at least 2 hours of fasting. Maximal 20ml CSF was collected in Sarstedt polypropylene syringes using a Spinocan 25-gauge needle in one of the intervertebral spaces between L3 and S1. Samples were centrifuged at 1,300 to 2,000 × g at 4°C for 10 minutes, and supernatants were then stored in aliquots at −80°C until analysis. A maximum of 2 hours was allowed between lumbar puncture and freezing. Levels of Aβ1–38, Aβ1–40, Aβ1–42, BACE1, t-tau, and p-tau were analyzed using commercial kits from Euroimmun (Lübeck, Germany) according to manufacturer instructions.
and as reference tissue. T1-based volumes of interest using the PET scan, was used for attenuation correction of the PET data. The T1-weighted structural magnetic resonance imaging scan obtained immediately prior to the PET scan, was reconstructed into 18 frames of increasing length (6 × 5, 3 × 10, 4 × 60, 2 × 150, 2 × 300, and 1 × 600 seconds) using the standard LOR-RAMLA reconstruction algorithm for the brain. Using the same reconstruction algorithm, the second scan was reconstructed into 4 frames of 5 minutes each. Subsequently, data from the 2 scans were combined into a single image dataset using coregistration using Vinci Software 2.56 (Max Planck Institute for Neurological Research, Cologne, Germany). Parametric BPND images were generated from the entire image set using receptor parametric mapping. 

Cerebellar gray matter, defined on a T1-weighted structural magnetic resonance imaging scan obtained immediately prior to the PET scan, was used for attenuation correction of the PET data and as reference tissue. T1-based volumes of interest using the Hammers atlas implemented in PVElab software were projected onto the [18F]Flutemetamol parametric images to extract regional values. A global BPND was calculated based on the volume-weighted average of frontal (ie, superior, middle, and inferior frontal gyrus), parietal (ie, posterior cingulate, superior parietal gyrus, postcentral gyrus, and inferolateral remainder of parietal lobe), and temporal (ie, parahippocampal gyrus, hippocampus, medial temporal lobe, superior, middle, and inferior temporal gyrus) cortical regions. We classified twins as amyloid positive (abnormal) or negative (normal) by visual read of the [18F]Flutemetamol scans. Rating was performed on the parametric BPND images by 3 readers (nuclear physician or radiologist) all trained according to General Electric Healthcare (GEHC) guidelines. For 15 cases, not all readers agreed; here, we used the consensus rating of 2 readers. In quantitative analyses, we used the global BPND.

### Apolipoprotein E Genotyping

To assess apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 allele carriehership, the major genetic risk factor for sporadic AD, all subjects were genotyped on the Affymetrix Axiom array and the Affymetrix 6 array. These were first cross chip imputed following the protocols as described by Fedko and colleagues and then imputed to Haplotype Reference Consortium with the Michigan Imputation server. APOE genotype was assessed using imputed dosages of the single nucleotide polymorphism rs429358 (APOE ε4, imputation quality = 0.956) and rs7412 (APOE ε2, imputation quality = 0.729).

### Statistical Analysis

We used generalized estimating equations (GEE) to test amyloid PET group differences for demographic variables, including random effect for twin status. We then assessed associations between Aβ and tau markers across the total group, using GEE including random effect for twin status. Analyses were performed unadjusted (Model 1) and adjusted for age, gender, and APOE ε4 genotype (Model 2) when applicable. Next, we performed 3 types of twin analyses. Monozygotic twin-pair correlations (ie, correlations for a trait between Twin 1 and Twin 2 across the group) for CSF (n = 54 pairs) and PET amyloid (n = 94 pairs) were assessed using Pearson correlations, which provide a proxy of variance explained in a trait by the combination of shared genetic and shared environmental factors. The correlation coefficient-1 indicates the percentage of the trait explained by unique environmental factors. Partial correlations were also calculated adjusting for age, gender, and APOE ε4 genotype. PET data and CSF tau data were log-transformed to improve normal distribution of the data. When 2 variables showed a significant association, we performed CTCT analysis to test whether levels of Marker A in one twin were related to levels of Marker B in the cotwin using OpenMx. We also performed a monozygotic within-pair difference analysis when there was a significant association between variables. This analysis allows examining whether within-pair differences in Marker A can be explained by within-pair differences in Marker B. It provides insight into the contribution of unique environmental factors unique to a twin on the observed relation between traits. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS version 23 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, NY) and R version 3.3.1 (http://www.r-project.org/).
Results

Sample Description
Twenty-seven individuals (14%) had an abnormal visual read of the amyloid PET scan. These subjects were older and had lower CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratios and higher p-tau levels compared to individuals with a normal PET scan (Table 1).

Twin-Pair Correlations
Monozygotic twin-pair correlations (ie, correlation for a trait across paired twins) were strong for Aβ production markers (r ranging between 0.79 and 0.86) and t-tau (r = 0.73), and moderately strong for Aβ aggregation markers (r range = 0.50–0.52) and p-tau (r = 0.64; Fig 2A,
Table 2). None of the markers tested was correlated across unrelated individuals (r range = 0.05–0.3, all p < 0.99), and effect sizes remained similar when correcting for age, gender, and APOE ε4 genotype (see Table 2).
Association between Aβ and Tau Markers across the Total Group

Across all subjects, higher levels of CSF BACE1 and Aβ38 were associated with lower CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratios but not with amyloid PET BPND, and with higher levels of CSF t-tau and p-tau. Furthermore, lower CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratios and higher amyloid PET BPND were associated with higher levels of t-tau and p-tau, with p-tau showing a higher association with amyloid PET BPND than t-tau (0.47 vs 0.25; Table 3). Concordant abnormal twin-pairs showed higher levels of t-tau and p-tau compared to concordant normal twin-pairs (see Fig 11, J), suggesting that tau levels are increased very early in the disease. Within discordant twin-pairs, tau levels increased with increasing Aβ aggregation in a similar way as observed in the total group (see Fig 2B). The twin with abnormal amyloid from discordant pairs showed the highest tau levels, and the twin with normal amyloid from discordant pairs showed CSF Aβ and tau levels near abnormal levels (see Fig 2B).

Discordant Twin-Pair Analyses

Seventy-four pairs (79% of the 94 complete PET imaging pairs) both had a normal amyloid PET visual read (ie, concordant normal), 14 pairs (15%) were discordant, and 6 pairs (6%) were discordant abnormal (Fig 1). The discordant twin with normal amyloid PET visual reads had higher BPND values compared to discordant twins both having normal amyloid PET visual reads (p < 0.05; see Fig 1H), suggesting that Aβ aggregation has already started in discordant normal twins, although the read is still visually normal. Concordant abnormal twins were older than discordant normal twins, and the age of twins with normal amyloid in discordant pairs was between the ages of concordant normal and abnormal twins (see Fig 1K). We therefore repeated our twin-pair correlation analyses stratified for age groups according to tertiles. We only observed significant interaction effects with age for Aβ aggregation measures CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio and amyloid PET BPND, with stronger twin-pair correlations for the intermediate age compared to the youngest age group (both p interaction < 0.05; see Fig 2A), which reflects that the intermediate age group included more discordant pairs.

Both amyloid abnormal and amyloid normal twins from discordant twin-pairs had higher BACE1 concentrations compared to concordant normal amyloid PET twins (see Fig 1D), suggesting that increased BACE1 activity may indicate a very early event in sporadic AD. The twin with normal amyloid from discordant pairs showed higher levels of t-tau and p-tau compared to concordant normal twin-pairs (see Fig 11, J), suggesting that tau levels are increased very early in the disease. Within discordant twin-pairs, tau levels increased with increasing Aβ aggregation in a similar way as observed in the total group (see Fig 2B). The twin with abnormal amyloid from discordant pairs showed the highest tau levels, and the twin with normal amyloid from discordant pairs showed CSF Aβ and tau levels near abnormal levels (see Fig 2B).

CTCT and Twin Difference Analyses

CTCT analyses showed that higher BACE1 and Aβ38 concentrations in one twin correlated with lower Aβ1–42/1–40 ratios in the cotwin, and even more strongly with higher t-tau and p-tau levels (Aβ aggregation: r = −0.18 to −0.28, p = 0.07–0.007; t-tau: r = 0.56–0.58, p < 0.0001; p-tau: r = 0.32–0.54, p < 0.0001–0.002; Fig 4, Supplementary Table S1A). Within-pair differences in production markers were also related to within-pair differences in tau levels (t-tau: r = 0.52–0.61, p < 0.0001; p-tau: r = 0.49–0.54, p < 0.0001; see Fig 4, Supplementary Table S1B), but not to within-pair differences in Aβ aggregation markers. CTCT analyses and within-pair differences further showed that aggregation markers (ie, lower Aβ1–42/1–40 ratios and higher amyloid PET BPND values) in one twin were related to higher t-tau and p-tau levels in their cotwin (see Fig 4, Supplementary Table S1). After correction for age, gender, and APOE ε4 genotype (Model 2), CTCT results showed reductions in beta estimates for some markers, that is, Aβ38, amyloid PET BPND, Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio, t-tau, and p-tau (eg, Aβ38 uncorrected: r = 0.23; corrected: r = 0.13); this was not the case for the within–twin-pair difference analysis. We therefore repeated analyses to systematically study the influence of each covariate separately on these results, and observed that the reductions in CTCT estimates for these markers were mostly explained by age (Supplementary Table S2).

FIGURE 1: Patterns of amyloid production, amyloid aggregation, and tau for twin discordance and box plots for age and twin discordance. (A–C) [18F]Flutemetamol positron emission tomography (PET) images from a concordant twin-pair with a normal scan (A), a discordant pair (B), and a discordant pair with an abnormal scan (C). (D–K) Box plots show beta-secretase 1 (BACE1; D), amyloid-beta (Aβ) 1–40 (E), Aβ1–38 (F), Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio (G), global cortical PET binding (nondisplaceable binding potential [BPND]) (H), total-tau (t-tau; I), 181-phosphorylated-tau (p-tau; J), and age in years (K) for twins who both have a normal amyloid PET visual read (concordant normal, n = 148, of whom 93 have cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) markers), twins from a discordant pair with a normal amyloid PET visual read (discordant normal, n = 14, of whom 8 have CSF markers), twins from a discordant pair with abnormal amyloid PET visual read (discordant abnormal, n = 14, of whom 9 have CSF markers), and twin-pairs who both have an abnormal amyloid PET visual read (discordant abnormal, n = 12, of whom 9 have CSF markers). Discordant twin-pairs are connected with lines. All analyses for group comparisons were corrected for age, gender, and apolipoprotein E ε4 genotype. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. BPND = nondisplaceable binding potential; conc = concordant; disc = discordant; LG10 = log-transformed data.
(Figure legend continues on next page.)
Comparison of CSF and PET Aβ Aggregation Markers, and t-Tau and p-Tau Markers

We further studied the relationship between PET and CSF markers for Aβ aggregation, and observed moderately strong correlations between PET BPND and the CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio across the total sample (β = −0.57, standard error [SE] = 0.09, p < 0.0001; see Table 3), with CTCT (r = −0.33, SE = 0.09, p = 0.0005; see Fig 4, Supplementary Table S1A) and within-pair difference analyses (β = −0.58, SE = 0.14, p < 0.0001; Fig 3, Supplementary Table S1B). For t-tau and p-tau, we observed high total sample correlations (β = 0.85, SE = 0.08), which were attenuated in CTCT analyses (r = 0.57, SE = 0.07) and within-pair difference analyses (β = 0.74, SE = 0.10, all p < 0.0001; see Table 3, Fig 4, Supplementary Table S1).

Discussion

Our study in cognitively normal older monozygotic twins suggests that in the very early stages of sporadic AD, increased levels of Aβ production markers are associated with Aβ aggregation as well as higher levels of t-tau and p-tau. CTCT and twin difference analyses indicated that Aβ production and Aβ aggregation, Aβ production and tau markers, and tau and Aβ aggregation share, at least in part, a common underlying pathological mechanism. Furthermore, the moderately strong within–twin-pair correlations for aggregation markers, combined with the observation of 14 amyloid-discordant twin-pairs, suggests that environmental factors influence the start of AD pathological processes.

Our findings suggest a role of increased Aβ production in the very early pathophysiology of sporadic AD. In autosomal dominant AD, certain mutations in amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin 1 (PSEN1), or PSEN2 lead to increased Aβ production, subsequently causing Aβ aggregation. We further show that increases in Aβ production markers in this very early stage were associated with increased t-tau and p-tau levels, suggesting that these pathological hallmarks may be closely coupled in disease pathogenesis. Our observation seems in line with previous studies that used iPSC models from sporadic AD and showed significantly higher levels of Aβ40 and Aβ42 compared to controls. In patients, another study that used stable isotope labeling kinetics also observed that the rate of tau production was associated with Aβ burden. The high CTCT and twin difference associations we observe in our study provide a further indication that tau levels and Aβ production markers may share underlying pathophysiological processes. One such mechanism could be neuronal activity, which dynamically regulates APP cleavage and Aβ levels. In mouse models, aberrant increased neuronal activity has been observed to precede plaque formation in AD, and such hyperactivity has been related to increased neuronal secretion of both Aβ and tau, which compromises the metabolic homeostasis of neurons and contributes to network dysfunction. Nonetheless, it has to be noted that our analyses were based on cross-sectional data, and we are currently collecting follow-up measures to further investigate the relative timing of Aβ aggregation, increased Aβ production, and increased tau secretion longitudinally in this cohort. We observed such changes in tau and amyloid toward abnormality very early in the discordant monozygotic twins who still had normal amyloid PET. We further found that Aβ production markers and tau levels have a shared genetic background. This warrants further investigation into genetic variants associated with these pathophysiological changes in large combined genome-wide association studies with CSF.

We found different patterns in the discordant twin-pair analyses between Aβ production markers BACE1, Aβ40, and Aβ38. Possibly, this may indicate functional differences between secretases in production of Aβ peptides, because a previous study using ADAD iPSC-derived neurons showed that mutations of APP and PSEN1 have distinct effects on Aβ40 and Aβ38 levels by γ-secretase.

FIGURE 2: Monozygotic twin-pair correlations and correlations in amyloid-beta (Aβ) and tau levels, with discordant pairs connected. (A) Pearson correlation values for association of amyloid markers between one twin and their cotwin (Model 1); all p < 0.0001. Absolute values are shown for cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) beta-secretase 1 (BACE1), Aβ1–40, Aβ1–38, Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio, and log-transformed data (LG10) for global cortical positron emission tomography (PET) binding (nondisplaceable binding potential [BPND]) and CSF total-tau (t-tau) and 181-phosphorylated-tau (p-tau). Each dot represents one twin-pair; twin-pairs who are discordant normal on amyloid PET visual read are shown as dots, and twin-pairs who are discordant abnormal on amyloid PET visual read are shown as diamonds with a cross inside. Discordant pairs on amyloid PET visual read are shown as open triangles. Age-groups based on tertiles (60–65.3, 65.3–72.4, >72.4 years) are represented in colors. From left to right: CSF BACE1, CSF Aβ1–40, CSF Aβ1–38, CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio, global cortical PET binding (BPND), CSF t-tau, CSF p-tau. (B) Correlations of CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio and CSF t-tau; global cortical amyloid PET binding (BPND) and CSF t-tau; CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio and CSF p-tau; and global cortical amyloid PET binding (BPND) and CSF p-tau for twins who both have a normal amyloid PET visual read (discordant normal, shown as dots), twins from a discordant pair with a normal amyloid PET visual read (discordant normal, shown as open triangles), twins from a discordant pair with abnormal amyloid PET visual read (discordant abnormal, shown as crosses), and twin-pairs who both have an abnormal amyloid PET visual read (discordant abnormal, shown as diamonds with a cross inside). Discordant twin-pairs are connected with lines. LG10 = log-transformed data.
(Figure legend continues on next page.)
Future research should further investigate such effects in more detail, by measuring other markers that could reflect $\gamma$-secretase activity.

Furthermore, monozygotic twin-pair correlations for A$\beta$ production markers and t-tau were much higher compared to those of aggregation markers and p-tau. This suggests that although t-tau and p-tau levels are strongly correlated, these markers may in part reflect different aspects of AD pathophysiological processes. Whether the strong correlation between A$\beta$ aggregation and t-tau resulted from shared genetics or shared environmental factors between twins could not be investigated, as we did not include dizygotic twins in our study. However, previous studies indicated that shared environmental factors have a limited effect on neurological and neurodegenerative traits.$^{3,48}$ Future studies are needed to clarify the

---

**FIGURE 3:** Monozygotic within-pair difference associations between amyloid aggregation markers, amyloid production markers, and tau. Within-pair differences of (A) cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) amyloid-beta (A$\beta$) 1–42/1–40 ratio with global cortical positron emission tomography (PET) binding (nondisplaceable binding potential [BP$_{ND}$]), (B) CSF beta-secretase 1 (BACE1) with CSF A$\beta$ 1–38, (C) CSF BACE1 with CSF A$\beta$ 1–40, (D) CSF A$\beta$ 1–38 with CSF A$\beta$ 1–40, (E) CSF total-tau (t-tau) with CSF 181-phosphorylated-tau (p-tau), (F) CSF A$\beta$ 1–42/1–40 ratio with CSF t-tau, (G) global cortical PET binding (BP$_{ND}$) with CSF t-tau, (H) CSF A$\beta$ 1–42/1–40 ratio with CSF p-tau, (I) global cortical PET binding (BP$_{ND}$) with CSF p-tau, (J) CSF BACE1 with CSF t-tau, (K) CSF A$\beta$ 1–38 with CSF t-tau, (L) CSF A$\beta$ 1–40 with CSF p-tau, (M) CSF BACE1 with CSF p-tau, (N) CSF A$\beta$ 1–38 with CSF p-tau, (O) CSF A$\beta$ 1–40 with CSF p-tau. Each dot represents one twin-pair; twin-pairs who are concordant normal on amyloid PET visual read are shown as black dots, and twin-pairs who are concordant abnormal on amyloid PET visual read are shown as diamonds with a cross inside. Discordant pairs on amyloid PET visual read are shown as open triangles. Lower CSF A$\beta$ 1–42/1–40 ratio and higher global cortical PET binding indicate more amyloid aggregation. SE = standard error.
mechanisms that underlie the differences in amyloid production markers between cognitively normal individuals, as this may provide novel clues for reducing Aβ aggregation, t-tau levels, and tau phosphorylation.

Monozygotic twin-pair correlations observed for CSF and PET Aβ aggregation markers and p-tau ranged between 0.50 and 0.64, which is similar to previous CSF and PET concordance estimates reported by previous, smaller studies in cognitively normal individuals, and lower compared to twin similarity estimates for AD-type dementia (up to 79%). It is possible that monozygotic twin similarities in Aβ aggregation may become lower because of the early disease stage. As such, it could be hypothesized that in amyloid-discordant pairs, the twin with a normal amyloid PET visual read may become abnormal in the future. This explanation is supported by the observation that these individuals already showed higher (although still normal) BPND values compared to twin-pairs both with normal amyloid PET. CTCT and twin difference associations indicated that CSF and PET measures of amyloid aggregation reflect a common underlying pathophysiology. However, these associations were moderate, in line with earlier studies, suggesting that they may also capture different aspects of Aβ aggregation.

We further observed that for some markers, that is, Aβ38, amyloid PET BPND, CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratio, and CSF t-tau, the strength of the CTCT correlation tended to decrease after correction for age, gender, and APOE ε4 genotype, but there was no effect on the twin difference analyses. This finding highlights that CTCT and twin difference analyses capture different aspects. Our additional analyses suggested that a part of the decreases in CTCT associations could be explained by the observation that those markers showed a relationship with age. The twin-pair differences in these markers, however, were not associated with age, suggesting that although biomarker levels may change with age, they do so in a similar way within a twin-pair.

Bigger sample sizes for investigating very early pathophysiology of AD that include more individuals with abnormal amyloid would be desirable to increase statistical power. However, our older monozygotic twin approach is unique, and has enabled us to estimate the contribution of genetic and environmental factors to the start of Aβ aggregation. Longitudinal research is needed to investigate whether twin-pairs discordant for amyloid abnormality will become more similar in their levels of Aβ aggregation and p-tau over time. Furthermore, although low CSF Aβ1–42/1–40 ratios are established biomarkers for AD pathology, it still remains unclear what differences in CSF Aβ production marker levels represent in sporadic AD. BACE1, Aβ40, and Aβ38 are related to APP metabolism, and although these markers correlate strongly with each other, we cannot exclude the possibility that the levels as measured in CSF may also reflect other aspects of APP metabolism.

Previous population studies have indicated that environmental factors influence dementia risk, and our results show that the effect of such factors, at least partially, could be explained through their impact on Aβ and tau aggregation. It will be of interest to investigate whether twin discordance and their change over time may be explained by risk factors such as educational attainment, hypertension, obesity, alcohol misuse, hearing loss, and smoking, as this may guide prevention studies, and this will be a topic for future research. In addition, identification of genes and mechanisms associated with high amyloid production in cognitively normal individuals may further provide novel leads to reduce Aβ aggregation.
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